PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Counter-Designations in italicized text) (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only)

Deposition June 23-25, 2005

i i					サイト こうかいこう しゅうかい かんしょう かんしょう しゅうしゅ かいしゅう しゅうしゅ 一大 日本 かいしゅうしゅう
Designations	and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Designations	Counter-Designations	to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
5:10-12		com/alaya/jayasjaha pip/alaya			
	the constitution of the co		7:5-10		
			40:12-14		
			40:17-18		
			40:20-41:7 (41:1 should say "Arolika" C instead of "Aromka"))K	
			106:17-23		
-			107:2-3		
			109:17-20	•	
			110:3-5		
			110:10-17		

SFI-595858v1

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI
Document 2080-2
Filed 11/10/2008
Page 2 of 13

		į.			T			<u>_</u>				Г
	Plaintiffs' Designations			E-F								
PLAINTIFF'S DESI	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations											. The state of the
GNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	The state of the s										
ON TESTIMONY Composition Only s in italicized tex 23-25, 2005	Defendants' Designations	111:8-11	111:19-112:6	112:12-113:17	115:11-14	115:17-23	117:13-17	119:8-19	119:21-23	121:19-122:20	123:12-19 (starting with "You")	123:21-22
PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations											
	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations											

Deposition June 23-25, 2005	(Counter-Designations in italicized text)	(Testifying By Way of Deposition Only)	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANING	

Plaintiffs' De Designations and											
Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations											
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations											
Defendants' Designations	125:5-8 (starting with "as you got")	125:11	125:14-18	125:24-25	126:3-7	127:23-128:4	128:7-12	128:15-24	135:8-12	137:15-17	
Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations											
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations											

Deposition June 23-25, 2005	(Counter-Designations in italicized text)	(Testifying By Way of Deposition Only)	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU	

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants? Responses to Plaintiffs? Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			138:24-139:16		
			139:20-21		
			139:24-140:3		
			140:5-24		
			141:1-17		
141:18-142:3					
			142:15-20		
146:3-9					
147:10-20					
			148:16-19		
149:8-16		- Andrews			
149:22-24					

Case 3.99-CV-023		<u> </u>	unic			Fileu	<u> </u>	2/00 Paye :	0 01 13
154:5-155:19	153:21-24	LEGY LABOR.	152:15-22		151:19	150:21-151:16		Plaintiffs' Designations	
(interpreter), Nazemian criteria not met; lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802. Plaintiffs' response to this objection is without merit. Defendants de hor object to the testimony about the								Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	PLAINTIFF'S DESIG
beaten-this information is within his personal knowledge. The objected to testimony, regarding the interpretation issue, puts the beating in context. Further, Defendants have themselves designated testimony translated by	1177							Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	SNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005
		153:7-14		152:4-7			150:17-20	Defendants' Designations	N TESTIMONY (Deposition Only) in italicized text) 23-25, 2005
								Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005
								Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	

SFI-595858v1

PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 2080-2

Filed 11/10/2008

Page 6 of 13

		(Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	in italicized tex 23-25, 2005)	
Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	alleged beating that comes before and after the objectionable testimony. Nor do defendants designate any of the translated testimony to which plaintiffs refer the Court.	Bola to the witness (See 162:9-18 and 474:17-475:14). Defendants should not be allowed to object here in light of their offer of evidence put on through the same interpreter.			
156:6-17					
			157:11-14		
			157:19-22		
			158:5-11		
158:12-159:20					
159:22-24					
			162:25-163:17		
			163:23-164:1		

-cv-02506-SI
Document 2080-2
Filed 11/10/2008
Page 7 of 13

	Page 1 to 1 t				
	PLAINTIFF'S DESI	GNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	Deposition Only) in italicized text) 23-25, 2005	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	
Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			164:14-17		
		0.0	165:18-25		
			166:17-19		
173:2-7	173:2-7: Hearsay, and contrary to plaintiffs' opposition to defendants' motion in limine, there is	Court has already overruled this objection made by defendants in Motions in Limine.			
	no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the excited utterance exception to the hearsay rule; lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802.	Witness also personally observed the marks of torture on Bola's body-Bola's comments put this in context and were excited utterances, as he had just been tortured.			
			173:8-11		
	a de la companya de l		173:19-174:2		
174:11-175:20	174:11-175:1: Hearsay,	Court has already overruled			

NIWO IROWANINU

	Plaintiffs' Designations
opposition to defendants' motion in liming, there is no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the excited utherance exception to the hearsay rule; lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802. 174:21-23: Colloquy of counsel is irrelevant, waste of time. FRE 401-403. 175:7-13: Hearsay, and contrary to plaintiffs' opposition to defendants' motion in limine, there is no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the excited utterance exception to the hearsay rule, lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
defendants in Motions in Limine. Witness also personally observed the marks of torture on Bola's body-Bola's comments put this in context and were excited utterances, as he had just been tortured.	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	Defendants' Designations
	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI	
Document 2080-2	
Filed 11/10/2008	
Page 9 of 13	

					· _		
		,				Plaintiffs' Designations	
no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the excited utterance exception to the hearsay rule; lacks personal knowledge. FRE	175:18-20: Hearsay, and contrary to plaintiffs opposition to defendants motion in limite. Here is	175:16-17: Colloquy of counsel is irrelevant, waste of time. FRE 401-403.	excited atterance exception to the hearsay rule; lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802.	motion in limine, there is no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the	175:14-15: Hearsay, and contrary to plaintiffs'	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	PLAINTIFF'S DESI
						Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005
						Defendants' Designations	ON TESTIMON f Deposition Onl is in italicized tee 23-25, 2005
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	NY OF TAIWO IROWANINU buly) text)
						Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Ū

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI	
Document 2080-2	
Filed 11/10/2008	
Page 10 of 13	

	PLAINTIFF'S DESI	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	N TESTIMONY (Deposition Only) in italicized text) 23-25, 2005	OF TAIWO IROWANINU	
Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	602, 802.	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O			
176:6-9					
176:12-17					
176:20-177:6	contrary to plaintiffs' opposition to defendants' motion in limine, there is no foundation showing that these statements satisfy the excited utterance exception to the hearsay rule: lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602, 802.	Court has already overruled this objection made by defendants in Motions in Limine. Witness also personally observed the marks of torture on Bola's body-Bola's comments put this in context and were excited utterances, as he had just been tortured.			
			190:22-25		
			392:22-393:21		
,			396:15-23		

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI
Document 2080-2
Filed 11/10/2008
Page 11 of 13

		PLAINTIFF'S DESI	GNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	N TESTIMONY Deposition Only s in italicized tex 23-25, 2005	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	200
	Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to
				(starting with "The actual")		
				397:21-22		
				397:25-398:1		
				418:25-419:3		
				419:17-18		
				419:22-420:3		
				420:12-16		
				420:17-25		
				421:9-16		
				421:21-422:25		
-				423:14-424:1		
				424:14-23		

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI
Document 2080-2
Filed 11/10/2008
Page 12 of 13

	PLAINTIFF'S DESIG	SNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	N TESTIMONY Deposition Only in italicized tex 23-25, 2005	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	
Plaintiffs* Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			425:8-11		
			436:19-437:11		
			468:2-4		
			468:7-11	468:10-11: Calls for speculation; lacks foundation, FRH 602.	
. Adaptive .			468:14-15		
			468:17-19		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			469:21-470:5		
			470:8-15		
470:16-24					
471:2					
			471:4-15		

Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI	
Document 2080-2	
Filed 11/10/2008	
Page 13 of 13	

	PLAINTIFF'S DESI	GNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	N TESTIMONY Deposition Only in italicized tex 23-25, 2005	PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF TAIWO IROWANINU (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 23-25, 2005	
Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Designations	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			471:18-472:1		
472:14-16					
			475:19-23		
			476:13-25		
488:24-489:3					
			489:10-22		
			607:1-3		
			607:6-7		